ESANN 2024 proceedings, European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks, Computational Intelligence and Machine Learning. Bruges (Belgium) and online event, 9-11 October 2024, i6doc.com publ., ISBN 978-2-87587-090-2. Available from http://www.i6doc.com/en/.

Machine learning in distributed, federated and non-stationary environments - recent trends

Mirko Polato[1] and Barbara Hammer[2] and Frank-Michael Schleif[3]

1 - University of Turin, Department of Computer Science, Turin, Italy
2 - Bielefeld University, CITEC, Bielefeld, Germany
3 - Technical UAS Würzburg-Schweinfurt, Dept. of CS, Würzburg, Germany

Abstract. This tutorial provides an overview of machine learning methodologies applied in distributed, federated, and non-stationary environments. We focus on recent advancements and novel research contributions of the field. Key topics include data analysis and pattern recognition for non-stationary environments, model compression, federated learning algorithms, and privacy preservation. This tutorial aims to equip researchers and practitioners with insights into current challenges and innovative solutions in this dynamic field.

1 Introduction

Machine learning has evolved to accommodate the challenges posed by distributed and non-stationary environments, in particular to better address practical needs [1]. In these settings, data is often distributed across multiple locations, requiring methods that ensure privacy and adapt to changes over time. This paper provides a short comprehensive overview of the state-of-the-art techniques and applications in these domains. We target the setting of federated learning first as this constitutes one of the current key concepts to learn in distributed environments with different clients without an exchange of possibly private training data among those clients. This setup meets demands as occur in relevant application domains including self-driving cars, digital health, or smart manufacturing. Afterwards, we have a glimpse at two specific challenges which occur in distributed learning scenarios and beyond: how to deal with distributional shift which causes the necessity of client models to adapt to diverse and possibly non-stationary data distributions? How to provide models which provably obey privacy concerns as regards the observed training data?

2 Distributed and Federated Learning

Federated Learning (FL) is an innovative approach to train machine learning models on decentralized data, first introduced by researchers from Google in 2016 [2]. Unlike traditional centralized methods, FL enables multiple clients to collaboratively train a model while keeping their datasets local, thereby preserving data privacy and security.

Definition 1 (Federated Learning). *Consider a set of N clients, each with a private dataset relevant to a shared learning task. The objective is to train*

ESANN 2024 proceedings, European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks, Computational Intelligence and Machine Learning. Bruges (Belgium) and online event, 9-11 October 2024, i6doc.com publ., ISBN 978-2-87587-090-2. Available from http://www.i6doc.com/en/.

a global model M that minimizes the error on an objective function E in a distributed manner. The FL process typically involves the following steps:

1. *Model Initialization: An initial model is distributed to all clients.*
2. *Local Training: Each client C_i updates the model M_i using its local dataset.*
3. *Model Aggregation: A central server aggregates the locally updated models M_i into a global model M, which is then redistributed to the clients.*

The decentralized approach of FL offers several advantages:

- *Privacy Preservation*: Data remains on local devices, aligning with regulations such as the GDPR[1].
- *Efficient Resource Utilization*: The method leverages the computational power of clients.
- *Access to Diverse and Heterogeneous Data and Information*: It enables learning from otherwise inaccessible and possibly heterogeneous data and information distributed across clients.

FL can be distinguished along different dimensions. A prominent categorization used in [3] refers to the split of users and features among clients and distinguishes *horizontal FL*, *vertical FL*, and *Federated Transfer Learning*. Here, horizontal FL refers to a distribution of data with overlapping features but different users among clients (e.g. different probes); vertical FL refers to a distribution of different features but the same users along clients (e.g. different sensors); FTL refers to a small overlap of both, features and users among clients.

An alternative categorization was suggested in [4] with four groups, which distinguishes FL techniques along the challenges and desired features: *Aggregation optimization*, *Heterogeneous federated learning*, *Secure federated learning*, *Fair federated learning*. Here, aggregation refers to the way in which the individual models learned by each client are summarized. Prominent approaches average along weights or feature, for example. Heterogeneous FL refers to the form of heterogeneity which can be dealt with by the specific FL approach, such as differences in the model type or the underlying data distribution considered among clients. Secure FL refers to strategies which guarantee a correct result in the light pf possibly malicious clients; these might attack the results by targeting its functionality (e.g., backdoor attacks or model poisoning), or its privacy (e.g., using gradient information to uncover individual data from the communication schemes). Fair FL aims at a global model which takes into account the interest of all clients involved in the learning scheme in a suitable way, i.e., it is fair to all clients. This notion aligns with trends in processing large-scale community data and complies with ethical AI guidelines[2].

[1]https://gdprinfo.eu/

[2]https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/library/ethics-guidelines-trustworthy-ai

ESANN 2024 proceedings, European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks, Computational Intelligence and Machine Learning. Bruges (Belgium) and online event, 9-11 October 2024, i6doc.com publ., ISBN 978-2-87587-090-2. Available from http://www.i6doc.com/en/.

Other surveys on FL distinguish further relevant aspects [5] such as the applicable individual machine learning models, the involved privacy mechanisms, or the used communication architecture, among others. FL has been used in various applications [4] and is implemented in different frameworks, like *FLOWER* [6], *PySyft* [7], *FATE* [8], *TensorFlowFederated* [9], or *OpenFL* [10].

Recent advancements

While FL enhances privacy and reduces data transfer costs, it also introduces unique challenges. As recent deep learning is often based on fine-tuning of foundational deep learning models rather than training from scratch, the suitability of *federated learning strategies for optimization of foundational models* constitutes an active area of research [11]. Some challenges which occur in this context concern communication and computation efficiency as it is unclear which parts of a model (including prompt engineering for LLMs) to adapt when finetuning a deep architecture. Federated model pruning strategies, for example, can significantly increase the efficiency of FL, for example [12].

Another line of research deals with the *enhancement of FL technologies by components of explainability*, as is required for trustworthy machine learning models [13]. Recent approaches target efficient FL for natively explainable models [14]. Ongoing work demonstrates a possibly limited explainability for privacy preserving FL approaches [15].

When dealing with non-stationary data, FL faces a number of additional, dedicated challenges, in particular *Data Distribution Shifts and Drifts*. Sine each client device may have its own distinct data distribution, these individual distributions can change over time. This non-stationarity makes it difficult for the global model to generalize across all devices since the model may encounter drastically different data patterns during each training round. For distributional shift, work on transfer learning could be used, but the resource constraints on the client make this a challenging task [16]. Another promising approach is Personalized FL where clients are allowed to "customize" the global model in order to better capture the peculiarities of their own dataset [17].

Unlike shift, concept drift occurs when the statistical properties of the target variable, which the model is predicting, change over time. In a federated setting, this drift might be different across various clients, leading to models that become outdated quickly if not continuously adapted. Addressing these challenges requires developing adaptive algorithms capable of learning from changing data distributions, ensuring robust model aggregation techniques, and leveraging techniques like continual learning [18], statistical control measures [19] and transfer learning [1, 20] to maintain model performance over time.

Marfoq recently formalized the challenge of *federated learning for separate data streams* and provided a theoretical analysis thereof [21]. Currently, there has been a notable advancement in the field, such that Federated Learning has been extended to handling of non-independent and identically distributed (non-i.i.d) data (potentially still in static, batch processing) [22]. For a more general overview we refer to [23, 4].

ESANN 2024 proceedings, European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks, Computational Intelligence and Machine Learning. Bruges (Belgium) and online event, 9-11 October 2024, i6doc.com publ., ISBN 978-2-87587-090-2. Available from http://www.i6doc.com/en/.

Contributions in the special session

The work in [24] focus on sampling strategies from federated streaming data, addressing challenges in data heterogeneity and model accuracy. The session also includes a novel Personalized Federated Learning approach [25] based on Prototype Learning that highly reduce the communication cost while having a performance close to the state-of-the-art.

3 Non-Stationary and Dynamic Environments

Non-stationary environments present significant challenges for machine learning models, as they require adapting to changes in the underlying data distribution over time. In FL, this may affect individual clients, but it constitutes a more general challenge for global models in real environments, as one may not any longer assume that data are independent and identical distributed (i.i.d.). Formally, learning takes place based on an underlying family of distributions D_t, where t refers to the current time point, and $D_{t_1} \neq D_{t_2}$ might hold for at lest two given time points $t_1 \neq t_2$, i.e., concept drift occurs. Drift might manifest itself in a change of the input distribution, the posterior distribution, or any representation of features (see e.g. [26, 27] for a detailed recent discussion). Hence the current model might become invalid either because there does not exist a model fitting both D_{t_1} and D_{t_2}, or because the variability of D_{t_2} cannot easily be predicted based on D_{t_1}. Key challenges of learning in non-stationary environments are:

- **Concept Drift:** Concept drift refers to change of the underlying distribution D_t with t. Drift can be gradual or abrupt and it poses a significant challenge in maintaining model accuracy. Challenges include concept drift detection, i.e., localization of drift in time, localization of concept drift in space [26], and explanation of concept drift [28].
- **Real-time Adaptation:** Various incremental learning technologies have been proposed which are capable of model adaptation in the presence of concept drift, ensuring that models remain relevant and effective [29]. Thereby, models must be able to update continuously or periodically to incorporate new data patterns based on limited memory. Further, as non-stationary environments often require real-time or near-real-time adaptation to changes, efficient incremental approaches which might be implemented on the edge become particularly interesting [30]. While many approaches rely on supervised information, unsupervised learning models, which estimate label values from the context, become of increasing importance in autonomous learning scenarios [31].
- **Data Scarcity and Imbalance:** In dynamic environments, data scarcity and imbalance can exacerbate the challenge of learning from non-stationary data. Certain data patterns may become infrequent or rare, making it difficult for models to learn or generalize effectively [32].

ESANN 2024 proceedings, European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks, Computational Intelligence and Machine Learning. Bruges (Belgium) and online event, 9-11 October 2024, i6doc.com publ., ISBN 978-2-87587-090-2. Available from http://www.i6doc.com/en/.

- **Model Evaluation and Validation:** Traditional evaluation methods may not be suitable for non-stationary environments, as they often rely on static test datasets that do not reflect the dynamic nature of the environment. In supervised incremental learning, evaluation is often based on continuous evaluation strategies such as the interleaved train-test-error [29]. Yet evaluating a suitable model plasticity and stability is challenging, and alternative evaluation schemes have based on data representation, for example, have been investigated [33].

In this context, various techniques such as online learning, ensemble methods, and adaptive algorithms play a crucial role in managing non-stationary data effectively. Leveraging transfer learning and continual learning approaches can help models adapt to non-stationary environments by transferring knowledge from previous tasks or experiences. These techniques enable models to retain useful information while adapting to new data [34, 35, 36, 37].

Contributions in the special session

Feature learning is a crucial part in dynamic environment with particular challenges due to the non-stationarity of the underlying data distributions. The session includes two papers addressing this field. In [38] feature learning for time series is considered in detail and a new type of discriminative features is suggested. Adapting to concept drift is crucial for maintaining model performance. In the work of [39] the fine-structure of drifting features is explored, providing insights into feature stability and adaptability.

4 Data Privacy and Security

Ensuring data privacy and security is paramount in federated learning environments and beyond. Mathematically founded privacy concepts and secure aggregation are key techniques used to protect sensitive information. Three popular techniques are commonly employed in FL techniques, often in combination, to achieve this goal:

1. **Homomorphic Encryption** allows computations on encrypted data without decryption. An example approach is additive homomorphism [40].

2. **Differential Privacy** [41], limits information leakage during learning by ensuring that small changes in the training dataset do not significantly affect the model's output. This technique prevents attackers from extracting precise individual data by introducing controlled noise or using complex compression techniques [42].

3. **Secure Model Aggregation** is the most prevalent technique, where the global model is trained by aggregating model parameters from all clients, thus preventing the disclosure of original data. A notable deep learning approach in this domain is described in [43].

ESANN 2024 proceedings, European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks, Computational Intelligence and Machine Learning. Bruges (Belgium) and online event, 9-11 October 2024, i6doc.com publ., ISBN 978-2-87587-090-2. Available from http://www.i6doc.com/en/.

One may also use multi-task learning, where local models are trained individually and subsequently combined [44]. Additionally, blockchain technologies can securely aggregate local model parameters [45]. A more recent overview and proposal is given in [46].

Contributions in the special session

Schubert and Villmann investigate in [47] vector quantization methods to enhance privacy in federated settings, offering promising results for secure model training.

5 Applications of Machine Learning in Federated Settings

Various applications benefit from federated learning approaches, including healthcare, finance, and environmental monitoring. Some overview papers can be found here in [48, 49].

Contributions in the special session

Case Study: Federated Learning for Earth Observation The authors of [50] demonstrate the application of federated learning in semi-supervised environments for earth observation data, highlighting the potential for scalable and privacy-preserving analytics.

Motion Classification via Electromyography Also in the medical domain dynamic and non-stationary data are an important source of information. In [51] a few-shot learning approach for motion classification using electromyography is presented, showcasing the versatility of federated learning in diverse domains.

6 Conclusion and Future Directions

Machine learning in distributed, federated, and non-stationary environments continues to evolve, driven by the need for privacy-preserving and adaptive models. In particular we may see the convergence of different subfields to better address application constraints. Future research should focus on improving model robustness, communication efficiency, and privacy guarantees which are particular challenging in non-stationary environments and with ressource constraint devices.

7 Acknowledgements

FMS would like to thank the Center for Artificial Intelligence and Robotics, Würzburg, Germany. Parts of this project where funded in the EU-EFRE project GREEN-INNO (FKZ:2404-003-1.2). BH gratefully acknowledges funding by MKW NRW for the project SAIL, project number NW21-059A.

ESANN 2024 proceedings, European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks, Computational Intelligence and Machine Learning. Bruges (Belgium) and online event, 9-11 October 2024, i6doc.com publ., ISBN 978-2-87587-090-2. Available from http://www.i6doc.com/en/.

References

[1] Lukas Fischer, Lisa Ehrlinger, Verena Geist, Rudolf Ramler, Florian Sobiezky, Werner Zellinger, David Brunner, Mohit Kumar, and Bernhard Moser. Ai system engineering—key challenges and lessons learned †. *Machine Learning and Knowledge Extraction*, 3(1):56 – 83, 2021. Cited by: 25; All Open Access, Gold Open Access.

[2] Jakub Konečnỳ, H Brendan McMahan, Daniel Ramage, and Peter Richtárik. Federated optimization: Distributed machine learning for on-device intelligence. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.02527*, 2016.

[3] Moritz Heusinger, Christoph Raab, Fabrice Rossi, and Frank-Michael Schleif. Federated learning - methods, applications and beyond. In *29th European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks, Computational Intelligence and Machine Learning, ESANN 2021, Online event (Bruges, Belgium), October 6-8, 2021*, 2021.

[4] Bingyan Liu, Nuoyan Lv, Yuanchun Guo, and Yawen Li. Recent advances on federated learning: A systematic survey. *Neurocomputing*, 597:128019, 2024.

[5] Chen Zhang, Yu Xie, Hang Bai, Bin Yu, Weihong Li, and Yuan Gao. A survey on federated learning. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 216:106775, 2021.

[6] Daniel J. Beutel, Taner Topal, Akhil Mathur, Xinchi Qiu, Titouan Parcollet, Pedro P. B. de Gusmão, and Nicholas D. Lane. Flower: A friendly federated learning research framework, 2021. `https://flower.dev/`.

[7] Alexander Ziller, Andrew Trask, Antonio Lopardo, Benjamin Szymkow, Bobby Wagner, Emma Bluemke, Jean-Mickael Nounahon, Jonathan Passerat-Palmbach, Kritika Prakash, Nick Rose, Théo Ryffel, Zarreen Naowal Reza, and Georgios Kaissis. Pysyft: A library for easy federated learning. 2021.

[8] Yang Liu, Tao Fan, Tianjian Chen, Qian Xu, and Qiang Yang. Fate: an industrial grade platform for collaborative learning with data protection. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 22(1), jan 2021.

[9] The TensorFlow Federated Authors. TensorFlow Federated, December 2018.

[10] Patrick Foley, Micah J Sheller, Brandon Edwards, Sarthak Pati, Walter Riviera, Mansi Sharma, Prakash Narayana Moorthy, Shih-han Wang, Jason Martin, Parsa Mirhaji, Prashant Shah, and Spyridon Bakas. Openfl: the open federated learning library. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, 67(21):214001, October 2022.

[11] Herbert Woisetschläger, Alexander Isenko, Shiqiang Wang, Ruben Mayer, and Hans-Arno Jacobsen. A survey on efficient federated learning methods for foundation model training, 2024.

[12] Christian釋Internò, Elena Raponi, Niki van Stein, Thomas Bäck, Markus Olhofer, Yaochu Jin, and Barbara Hammer. Adaptive model pruning in federated learning through loss exploration. In *2nd Workshop on Advancing Neural Network Training: Computational Efficiency, Scalability, and Resource Optimization (WANT@ICML 2024)*, 2024.

[13] Q. Li, Z. Wen, Z. Wu, S. Hu, N. Wang, Y. Li, X. Liu, and B. He. A survey on federated learning systems: Vision, hype and reality for data privacy and protection. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge & Data Engineering*, 35(04):3347–3366, apr 2023.

[14] José Luis Corcuera Bárcena, Pietro Ducange, Francesco Marcelloni, Giovanni Nardini, Alessandro Noferi, Alessandro Renda, Fabrizio Ruffini, Alessio Schiavo, Giovanni Stea, and Antonio Virdis. Enabling federated learning of explainable ai models within beyond-5g/6g networks. *Computer Communications*, 210:356–375, 2023.

[15] Balancing privacy and explainability in federated learning, 08 December 2023. PREPRINT (Version 1) available at Research Square [https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3714454/v1].

[16] Yansheng Wang, Yongxin Tong, Zimu Zhou, Ruisheng Zhang, Sinno Jialin Pan, Lixin Fan, and Qiang Yang. Distribution-regularized federated learning on non-iid data. In *2023 IEEE 39th International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE)*, pages 2113–2125, 2023.

[17] Lei Yang, Jiaming Huang, Wanyu Lin, and Jiannong Cao. Personalized federated learning on non-iid data via group-based meta-learning. *ACM Trans. Knowl. Discov. Data*, 17(4), mar 2023.

[18] Xin Yang, Hao Yu, Xin Gao, Hao Wang, Junbo Zhang, and Tianrui Li. Federated continual learning via knowledge fusion: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 36(8):3832 – 3850, 2024. Cited by: 1; All Open Access, Green Open Access.

[19] Christoph Raab, Moritz Heusinger, and Frank-Michael Schleif. Reactive soft prototype computing for concept drift streams. *Neurocomputing*, 416:340–351, 2020.

[20] Tala Talaei Khoei, Hadjar Ould Slimane, and Naima Kaabouch. Deep learning: systematic review, models, challenges, and research directions. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 35(31):23103 – 23124, 2023. Cited by: 26; All Open Access, Hybrid Gold Open Access.

[21] Othmane Marfoq, Giovanni Neglia, Laetitia Kameni, and Richard Vidal. Federated learning for data streams, 2023.

[22] Y. Zhao, M. Li, L. Lai, N. Suda, D. Civin, and V. Chandra. Federated learning with non-iid data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.00582*, 2018.

[23] Peter Kairouz et al. Advances and open problems in federated learning. *Found. Trends Mach. Learn.*, 14(1–2):1–210, June 2021.

[24] Manuel Röder and Frank-Michael Schleif. Sparse uncertainty-informed sampling from federated streaming data. In *32nd European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks, Computational Intelligence and Machine Learning, ESANN 2024, Bruges, Belgium, October 9-11, 2024*, 2024.

[25] Samuele Fonio, Mirko Polato, and Roberto Esposito. Fedhp: Federated learning with hyperspherical prototypical regularization. In *32nd European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks, Computational Intelligence and Machine Learning, ESANN 2024, Bruges, Belgium, October 9-11, 2024*, 2024.

[26] Fabian Hinder, Valerie Vaquet, and Barbara Hammer. One or two things we know about concept drift - a survey on monitoring in evolving environments. part A: detecting concept drift. *Frontiers Artif. Intell.*, 7, 2024.

[27] Fabian Hinder, Valerie Vaquet, and Barbara Hammer. Feature-based analyses of concept drift. *Neurocomputing*, 600:127968, 2024.

[28] Fabian Hinder, Valerie Vaquet, Johannes Brinkrolf, and Barbara Hammer. Model-based explanations of concept drift. *Neurocomputing*, 555:126640, 2023.

[29] Viktor Losing, Barbara Hammer, and Heiko Wersing. Incremental on-line learning: A review and comparison of state of the art algorithms. *Neurocomputing*, 275:1261–1274, 2018.

[30] Xi Chen, Chang Gao, Tobi Delbruck, and Shih-Chii Liu. Eile: Efficient incremental learning on the edge. In *2021 IEEE 3rd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence Circuits and Systems (AICAS)*, pages 1–4, 2021.

[31] Shivam Khare, Kun Cao, and James M. Rehg. Unsupervised class-incremental learning through confusion. *CoRR*, abs/2104.04450, 2021.

[32] Zeng Li, Wenchao Huang, Yan Xiong, Siqi Ren, and Tuanfei Zhu. Incremental learning imbalanced data streams with concept drift: The dynamic updated ensemble algorithm. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 195:105694, 2020.

[33] Sungmin Cha, Jihwan Kwak, Dongsub Shim, Hyunwoo Kim, Moontae Lee, Honglak Lee, and Taesup Moon. Towards diverse evaluation of class incremental learning: A representation learning perspective, 2024.

[34] Andrés L. Suárez-Cetrulo, David Quintana, and Alejandro Cervantes. A survey on machine learning for recurring concept drifting data streams. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 213:118934, 2023.

[35] M. Heusinger. *Learning with High Dimensional Data and Preprocessing in Non-Stationary Environments.* PhD thesis, Universität Bielefeld, Bielefeld, 2023.

[36] Michiel Straat, Fthi Abadi, Zhuoyun Kan, Christina Göpfert, Barbara Hammer, and Michael Biehl. Supervised learning in the presence of concept drift: a modelling framework. *Neural Comput. Appl.*, 34(1):101–118, 2022.

[37] L. Wang, X. Zhang, H. Su, and J. Zhu. A comprehensive survey of continual learning: Theory, method and application. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis & Machine Intelligence*, 46(08):5362–5383, aug 2024.

[38] Bruno Casella, Matthias Jakobs, Marco Aldinucci, and Sebastian Buschjäger. Federated time series classification with rocket features. In *32nd European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks, Computational Intelligence and Machine Learning, ESANN 2024, Bruges, Belgium, October 9-11, 2024*, 2024.

[39] Fabian Hinder, Valerie Vaquet, and Barbara Hammer. On the fine-structure of drifting features. In *32nd European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks, Computational Intelligence and Machine Learning, ESANN 2024, Bruges, Belgium, October 9-11, 2024*, 2024.

[40] Stephen Hardy, Wilko Henecka, Hamish Ivey-Law, Richard Nock, Giorgio Patrini, Guillaume Smith, and Brian Thorne. Private federated learning on vertically partitioned data via entity resolution and additively homomorphic encryption, 2017.

[41] Cynthia Dwork. Differential privacy. In Michele Bugliesi, Bart Preneel, Vladimiro Sassone, and Ingo Wegener, editors, *Automata, Languages and Programming, 33rd International Colloquium, ICALP 2006, Venice, Italy, July 10-14, 2006, Proceedings, Part II*, volume 4052 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 1–12. Springer, 2006.

[42] Kang Wei, Jun Li, Ming Ding, Chuan Ma, Howard H. Yang, Farhad Farokhi, Shi Jin, Tony Q. S. Quek, and H. Vincent Poor. Federated learning with differential privacy: Algorithms and performance analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, 15:3454–3469, 2020.

[43] H. B. McMahan, Eider Moore, D. Ramage, and B. A. Y. Arcas. Federated learning of deep networks using model averaging. *ArXiv*, abs/1602.05629, 2016.

[44] Mikhail Yurochkin, Mayank Agarwal, Soumya Ghosh, Kristjan H. Greenewald, Trong Nghia Hoang, and Yasaman Khazaeni. Bayesian nonparametric federated learning of neural networks. In Kamalika Chaudhuri and Ruslan Salakhutdinov, editors, *Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2019, 9-15 June 2019, Long Beach, California, USA*, volume 97 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 7252–7261. PMLR, 2019.

[45] Hyesung Kim, Jihong Park, Mehdi Bennis, and Seong-Lyun Kim. Blockchained on-device federated learning, 2019.

[46] Yang Liu, Yan Kang, Chaoping Xing, Tianjian Chen, and Qiang Yang. A Secure Federated Transfer Learning Framework. *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, 1672(c):1–1, 2020.

[47] Ronny Schubert and Thomas Villmann. About vector quantization and its privacy in federated learning. In *32nd European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks, Computational Intelligence and Machine Learning, ESANN 2024, Bruges, Belgium, October 9-11, 2024*, 2024.

[48] Meenakshi Aggarwal. Federated learning on internet of things: Extensive and systematic review. *Computers, Materials & Continua*, 79(2):1795–1834, 2024.

[49] Geunho Choi, Won Chul Cha, Se Uk Lee, and Soo-Yong Shin. Survey of medical applications of federated learning. *Healthcare Informatics Research*, 30(1):3 – 15, 2024. Cited by: 1; All Open Access, Gold Open Access.

[50] Bruno Casella, Alessio Barbaro Chisari, Marco Aldinucci, Sebastiano Battiato, and Mario Valerio Giuffrida. Federated learning in a semi-supervised environment for earth observation data. In *32nd European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks, Computational Intelligence and Machine Learning, ESANN 2024, Bruges, Belgium, October 9-11, 2024*, 2024.

[51] Rui Liu and Benjamin Paassen. Few-shot similarity learning for motion classification via electromyography. In *32nd European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks, Computational Intelligence and Machine Learning, ESANN 2024, Bruges, Belgium, October 9-11, 2024*, 2024.